



Cite as: Crossref Annual Meeting LIVE22 presentation, October 26, 2022, retrieved [date], <https://doi.org/10.13003/i3t7l9ub7t>

#CRLIVE22

Come one, come all
Welcome to our
annual meeting

#CRLIVE22



Crossref



@CrossrefOrg

Agenda

UTC

- 16:00 Welcome
- 16:05 Vision & strategy (Ed)
- 16:15 Community heroes (Vanessa & Isaac)
- 16:25 Building the research nexus together – Guest flash talks
- 17:00 Q&A
- 17:10 Break
- 17:15 State of the membership and metadata (Amanda & Patricia)
- 17:25 Funding in metadata (Dominika)
- 17:35 Annual meeting and board election (Lucy)
- 18:00 Close of the formal meeting (Ed)
- 18:05 *Let's mingle!* Chatting with the community

Board election reminder

If needed:
Email Lucy at
lofiesh@crossref.org

Tier 1, Small and mid-sized members

Member organization	Candidate standing	Title	Org type	Country
eLife	Damian Pattinson	Executive Director	Nonprofit publisher	UK
Pan Africa Science Journal	Oscar Donde	Editor in Chief	Publisher	Kenya

Tier 2, Large members

Member organization	Candidate standing	Title	Org type	Country
Clarivate	Christine Stohn	Director of Product Management	Assessment Service	US
Elsevier	Rose L'Huillier	Senior Vice President Researcher Products	Publisher	Netherlands
The MIT Press	Nick Lindsay	Journals and Open Access Director	Academic Press	US
Springer Nature	Anjalie Nawaratne	VP Data Transformation & Chief Business Architect	Publisher	UK
Wiley	Allyn Molina	Group Vice President, Research Publishing	Publisher	US

Vision, mission & more

Ed Pentz, Executive Director

A scenic landscape featuring a valley with rolling hills and several hot air balloons floating in the sky. The scene is split into two color zones: a teal/cyan area on the left and a reddish-orange area on the right. A large, white, stylized letter 'V' is overlaid on the left side of the image.

Vision

Like others... we envision

“a rich and reusable open network of relationships connecting research organizations, people, things, and actions; a scholarly record that the global community can build on forever, for the benefit of society.”

The top of the slide features a horizontal banner image. The left side shows a green, hilly landscape under a blue sky with several small hot air balloons. The right side shows a red, rocky canyon landscape under a red sky with several hot air balloons, including a large one in the foreground on the right.

Mission

Crossref makes research objects easy to find, cite, link, assess, and reuse.

We're a not-for-profit membership organization that exists to make scholarly communications better.

We rally the community; tag and share metadata; run an open infrastructure; play with technology; and make tools and services—all to help put research in context.

Home > Blog > **Amendments to membership terms to open reference distribution and include UK jurisdiction**

 4 minute read.

Amendments to membership terms to open reference distribution and include UK jurisdiction



[Ginny Hendricks](#), [Martyn Rittman](#), [Amanda Bartell](#) – 2022 April 04
In [References](#), [Metadata](#), [Board](#)

Tl;dr

Forthcoming amendments to Crossref's membership terms will include:

1. Removal of 'reference distribution preference' policy: **all references in Crossref will be treated as open metadata from 3rd June 2022.**

[nature](#) > [editorials](#) > article

EDITORIAL | 13 September 2022

Citation data are now open, but that's far from enough

The reference lists of more than 60 million papers on the linking site Crossref are now openly available. That is welcome – but further steps must follow.

“Depositing **all relevant metadata** in Crossref should become the norm in scholarly publishing.”

Citation data are now open, but that’s far from enough
Nature 609, 441 (2022)
<https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-02915-1>

The “scholarly record”


- The outputs of the research and publishing process create a “scholarly record” - evidence and context to help assess the integrity of the scientific and publishing process
- The scholarly record is about more than just published outputs - it’s also a network of inputs, relationships, and contexts
- The richer and more comprehensive Crossref records are, the more context there is for our members and for the whole scholarly research ecosystem.

Integrity of the scholarly record (ISR)

- Crossref itself doesn't assess the quality of content or the integrity of the research process
- Enables those who produce scholarly outputs to provide metadata (effectively evidence) about how they ensure the quality of content and how the outputs fit into the scholarly record
 - (through reference links, ORCID iDs for authors, ROR IDs for affiliations, funding and licensing information, etc.)

Our role in ISR

Crossref is focused on **enriching metadata** to provide more and better **trust signals** while keeping barriers to **membership and participation as low** as possible to enable an **inclusive scholarly record**.



What we're all aiming for

- Bringing together the disparate pieces of the scholarly record.
- Better view of relationships (beyond PIDs).
- Exposing internal stuff publicly.
- Enabling multi-party assertions.
- Evidence. Provenance. Persistence.
- **60% of this is possible, the rest is aspirational.**

Community heroes

Vanessa Fairhurst, Community Engagement Manager
Isaac Farley, Technical Support Manager



Highlights



Salwan Ambassadors

Jul 14

Thank you for your question my dear @mccurley , I agree with @ifarley Isaac regarding reference metadata, there is a standardized procedure for registering reference metadata using the DOI, I always think it possible to include the DOI in the metadata, but a DOI in your reference metadata will guarantee the system creates a connection between the DOI being registered and the DOI in your citation list because that is the most specific metadata element we being used in similarity.

Perspectives: Bruna Erlandsson on scholarly communications in Brazil



Bruna Erlandsson, Rosa Clark, Vanessa Fairhurst, Ginny Hendricks – 2022 March 28
In [Community](#), [Perspectives](#)



Join us for the first in our *Perspectives* blog series. In this series of blogs, we will be meeting different members of our diverse, global community at Crossref. We learn more about their lives, how they came to know and work with us, and we hear insights about the scholarly research landscape in their country, challenges they face, and plans for the future.

In our first blog, we meet Bruna Erlandsson, Crossref Ambassador in Brazil, co-owner of Linceu Editorial, and client services manager at ABEC Brasil. Bruna has dedicated her career to scholarly publishing and has worked with Crossref for many years. We invite you to have a read and a listen below to meet Bruna!



Satellite events



#CRLIVE22

XYT

Community groups

Advisory Groups

- Similarity Check
- Crossmark
- Funders
- Preprints
- Event Data

Interest Groups

- Books
- Metadata practitioners

Committees (board-based)

- Executive
- Audit
- Nominating
- Membership & Fees

crossref.org/working-groups

community.crossref.org/t/share-your-thoughts-on-preprint-metadata/2800

crossref.org/blog/accessibility-for-crossref-doi-links-call-for-comments-on-proposed-new-guidelines/

#CRLIVE22

Technical support trends

8640 Support tickets solved this year, these requests were emailed to support@crossref.org or opened via our [contact us](#) form

Top 10 most common topics

1. 43.8% - general questions about **content registration** (3784 tickets)
2. 16.9% - support with a **deposit/registration** (1456 tickets)
3. 16.3% - how can I **update** my DOI's metadata record? (1408 tickets)
4. 11.1% - help updating the resource resolution **URL** of my DOI(s) (963 tickets)
5. 7.5% - a suggestion for a **metadata quality** improvement/correction (646 tickets)
6. 6.8% - help using the **web deposit form** (587 tickets)
7. 5.8% - help updating a **title** record (504 tickets)
8. 5.7% - help with the **XML** itself (495 tickets)
9. 5.2 % - questions about **retrieving metadata** (451 tickets)
10. 5.0% - questions about **reports** (resolution, DOI error, conflict, etc.) (436 tickets)

Community Forum

Hey, that's a
67.6% increase!

Growth since [launch](#) in February 2021

2022 Community Forum page views (2022-Jan-01 to 2022-Oct-23): 631,572

2021 Community Forum page views (2021-Jan-01 to 2021-Oct-23): 376,761

Join us in the forum for open, community, many-to-many support!

Our [tickets of the month](#) are a great place to start!

Top 10 most active community contributors

- edamasio - 1.4K
- BrunaErlandsson - 683
- Anjum - 604
- hdogan - 470
- michaelolson - 393
- BronSen - 348
- cybermon - 321
- abec - 319
- rhiannon-ppl - 317
- jhchang - 306



mkoc

thank you very much for answer. I needed this so much. 😊

Building the Research Nexus together: flash talks

Kora Korzec

Head of Community Engagement and Communications

Flash talks

1. Hans de Jonge and Bianca Kramer: The availability and completeness of funder metadata in Crossref
2. Javier Arias: Thoth Open Metadata
3. Julie Lambert: Going it Together: DOI Correction Journey
4. Edilson Damasio: Cited-by and OJS experience
5. Lettie Y. Conrad: With or Without: Measuring Impacts of Books Metadata

The availability and completeness of funder metadata in Crossref.
A case study for publications funded by the Dutch research council NWO



PRESENTERS:

Hans de Jonge (NWO @HLdeJonge) &
Bianca Kramer (Sesame Open Science @MsPhelps)

BACKGROUND:

Funding councils spend a lot of effort in tracking the output they fund. Crossref is becoming an increasingly interesting (open) source. In 2021 25% of DOIs have funding info. But how to evaluate that figure? Do all publishers deposit funding data for their publications to Crossref?

METHODS

We looked at a sample of 5,004 DOIs registered in 2021 by grantees as the output of NWO funded research. In theory 100% of these publications should contain funding information in Crossref.



RESULTS

- 67% of records contain funding info
- 53% correctly identify NWO
- 45% use funder ID
- Big differences between publishers
- Differences between publishers can not just be explained by the absence of funding information.
- WoS, Scopus and Dimensions are able to extract funding info for sizeable extra records (up to 1,000).

CONCLUSION

Although funding information in Crossref is on the rise, some publishers have to step up their efforts to capture and deposit this data.

Based on a sample of 5,004 DOIs recorded as output funded by the Dutch Research Council NWO we conclude that some publishers need to seriously step up their efforts to deposit funding data to Crossref.



Bianca Kramer, Hans de Jonge; The availability and completeness of open funder metadata: Case study for publications funded by the Dutch Research Council. *Quantitative Science Studies* 2022;doi: <https://doi.org/10.1162/ass-a-00210>



Take a picture to download the full paper



Sesame Open Science



Thoth is an open **metadata management and dissemination** service



Built for **OA Books**



Open Source

GraphQL and REST
Open APIs

Single source for **publishers** to create, store, and manage **metadata** about their **books and chapters**, and output the different **formats** required for **dissemination** to numerous **platforms**.



All metadata records are **open**

Crossref DOI deposit, ONIX, KBART, BibTex, JSON, CSV

copim

<https://copim.ac.uk>

info@thoth.pub | <https://thoth.pub>



PENN STATE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Going it Together

DOI Correction Journey

Scholarly
Publishing
Collective


Uncovering errors...

1. Duplicate DOIs
2. Phantom DOIs
3. Title / Ownership discrepancies

Finding fixes...

1. Alias mapping
2. Coordinate deposit
3. Confirm / Correct / Verify ownership or title data

- The article citations are essential to show the importance of the article and your visibility.
- For OJS (Open Journal Systems), has a plugin that shows quotes Cited-by Scopus, Google and Europe PMC.
- Methodolgy example using the BSPM Journal.
- For members with 90% references deposited in Participation Reports.



If the plugin is compatible with your version of OJS, you can install this plugin via the plugin gallery.

NOTE: You must [contact Crossref](#) to ask for the Cited-by service to be enabled for your prefix(es), if you want to use Crossref within this Plugin.

1. Enable the plugin in the Installed Plugins tab (Website > Plugins > Installed Plugins)
2. Choose which Providers (Crossref, Scopus, or both) you'll use for the plugin using the dropdown menu
3. If you have not already, request for API for Scopus and/or Crossref
4. Enter the API Key for Scopus and API username and password for Crossref
5. Configure the information you want to display on your article landing page along with the Block height if desired.

“Cited-by gives our members full access to citations, helping them to build a picture of how research has been received by the community”.

Provider
Choose between Crossref, Scopus or both.
Scopus & Crossref

The API credentials are requested in the following fields. These are stored but not re-displayed for safety. You do not have to re-enter your credentials because the already stored credentials will be used. If credentials stored, the corresponding input fields are marked with a blue border.

Scopus API
In order to retrieve citation statistics from Scopus you need an API key. If you don't have an API key yet, request one [here](#) (free of charge)

Enter here the Scopus API key.

CrossRef API
In order to use the CrossRef API you have to be the **number** of Crossref. This is associated with **fees**. If you are not a member of Crossref, please enter your credentials here.

Enter here the Crossref API username. Enter here the Crossref API password.

Total number of citations
The total number of citations can be de-activated with this option.
 Total number of citations

"Cited by" article listing
The "Cited by" article listing can be de-activated with this option.
 Enable "Cited by" article listing

Abstract

In this paper we present and discuss a new numerical scheme for solving fractional delay differential equations of the general form:

$$SD^{\alpha}(\beta)_*(*)y(t) = f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau), D^{\alpha}(\alpha)_*(*)y(t), D^{\alpha}(\alpha)_*(*)y(t-\tau))$$

on $a \leq t \leq b$, $SD^{\alpha}(\alpha)_*(*)y(a) = s_1$, $s_1 < \beta$ and under the following interval and boundary conditions:

$$y(t) = \varphi(t) \quad \text{for } t \leq a, s$$

$$y(b) = \gamma$$

where $SD^{\alpha}(\beta)_*(*)y(t)$, $SD^{\alpha}(\alpha)_*(*)y(t)$ and $SD^{\alpha}(\alpha)_*(*)y(t-\tau)$ are the standard Caputo fractional derivatives, s is the initial value and γ is a smooth function.

We also provide this method for solving some scientific models. The obtained results show that the propose method is very effective and convenient.

Downloads

18
88

When the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree automatically to transfer the copyright to the (SPP).

The Journal utilize the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC-BY 4.0\)](#).

Prashant Pandey, Jagdev Singh (2022)
An efficient computational approach for nonlinear variable order fuzzy

- The Cited by PKP plugin works well and is easy to enable.
- Crossref members who publish in OJS will have the facility to enable Cited-by in their journals.



OJS
OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS

PKP
PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE PROJECT

Crossref

@pkp @CrossrefOrg

OJS citations plugin:
<https://docs.pkp.sfu.ca/crossref-ojs-manual/en/citationsplugin>

With or Without: Measuring Impacts of Books Metadata

by [Lettie Y. Conrad](#), PhD
& [Michelle Urberg](#), PhD

Mixed-methods study

- Rated search performance of scholarly books
- 100+ books (17 publishers) with and without DOIs
- Simulated researcher experience
- 1st systematic review of metadata impacts on discoverability of books in Google Scholar

DOIs have indirect influence on the discoverability of scholarly books in Google Scholar, but no direct impacts on the quality of indexing or access to full text.

Initial results

- Books with DOIs are more discoverable than those without DOIs
- Discoverability was not significantly impacted by type of book

Initial findings

- Books with DOIs benefit from open metadata in the mainstream web

Outcomes expected

- Metadata ROI framework
- Full report in spring 2023

Q&A

1. Hans de Jonge and Bianca Kramer: The availability and completeness of funder metadata in Crossref
2. Javier Arias: Thoth Open Metadata
3. Julie Lambert: Going it Together: DOI Correction Journey
4. Edilson Damasio: Cited-by and OJS experience
5. Lettie Y. Conrad: With or Without: Measuring Impacts of Books Metadata



**Short break
until 5:18pm UTC**

#CRLIVE22

The state of membership and metadata

Amanda Bartell, Head of Member Experience
Patricia Feeney, Head of Metadata

Crossref membership is open to:

Organizations that “produce professional and scholarly materials and content”.

Likely to be cited in the research ecosystem and considered part of the evidence trail.

Types of organizations

Org type	%	Org type	%
University/Research Institute	34%	Library	1%
Publisher	32%	Research Funder	1%
Society	20%	Museum	0.5%
Govt Agency/NGO	5%	Company	0.5%
Other	3.5%	Data repository	0.2%
Researcher Service	1%	Assessment service	0.1%
Publishing tool	1%		


Reducing barriers to entry

- Few checks
 - 2322 new members in last year - 39 declined apps
- Sponsors programme
- Fee assistance program → GEM program



Crossref
**Global
Equitable
Membership**


17.5k members



148 countries



2022 YTD trending countries




Keeping the membership team busy


Over 12k membership tickets solved this year. Application form submissions, emailed to member@crossref.org, or opened via our [contact us](#) form.

- Membership applications
- General questions about membership
- Contact updates for existing members
- Questions about the Similarity Check service
- Adding new users with deposit credentials to an account
- Title transfers between members
- Questions about invoices and payment - passed to the billing team - they solved over 9k tickets in the last year (billing@crossref.org) .


2020: 120,386,688



2021: 130,680,053



October 2022: 138,927,927 records and counting




2008: 90%

32,533,379

registered CrossRef DOIs

Journal DOIs
29,250,854




32 MILLION AND COUNTING. CrossRef exceeded the 32 million DOI mark in May of 2008 comprised of a diverse range of content types.

2011: 88%



49,350,542

Registered CrossRef DOIs



2015: 88%


Content Types (July 2015)



Total
73,735,953


2017: 78%

All types continue to grow, as new content like preprints are taking off.



Registration rates

	2020-2021	2021-now
Overall	9%	6%
Books	11%	7%
Datasets	86%	24%
Dissertations	39%	15%
Journal articles	7%	5%
Posted Content	42%	26%
Conference Papers	9%	13%
Reports	6%	4%
Peer Reviews	80%	35%
Standards	7%	5%
Grants	-	39%




The nexus we have now

Out of **138,927,927** total records:

		% of total metadata	% change since 2021
Abstracts	17,292,273	11.97%	+41%
Funder Registry IDs	6,916,291	4.80%	+21%
License URLs	57,431,484	42.20%	+9%
ORCID iDs	9,332,238	6.35%	+24%
References	61,741,515	44.53%	+6%
ROR IDs	3,119		
Relationships	689,404		


Relationships: points of connection between two objects, can be explicit (supplied as relationship metadata) or implicit (a connection created by metadata such as a PID within a record)

Oct 2022



Oct 2022


relationship % by type




Linking grants to research outputs

Dominika Tkaczyk, Head of Strategic Initiatives

Three sides of funding information



Funders support research



DOI: [10.1093/hwj/dbz009](https://doi.org/10.1093/hwj/dbz009)

type: journal-article

funder:


name: Wellcome Trust

award: 103341

DOI: [10.13039/100010269](https://doi.org/10.13039/100010269)

doi-asserted-by: publisher

Funders award grants



DOI: **10.35802/204697**

type: grant

project:

funding:

funder:

name: Wellcome Trust



id:

id: **10.13039/100010269**

id-type: DOI

asserted-by: publisher

Grants support research



DOI: [10.1093/nar/gkaa994](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa994)

type: journal-article

funder:


name: Wellcome Trust

award: **10.35802/108758**

DOI: [10.13039/100010269](https://doi.org/10.13039/100010269)

doi-asserted-by: publisher

Grants support research



DOI: [10.54514/j37fs-is8ds](https://doi.org/10.54514/j37fs-is8ds)

type: grant

relation:

finances:

id-type: doi

id: [10.1007/978-3-030-77363-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77363-2)

asserted-by: subject

reference matching

task:

find cited work DOI

grant matching

task:

find grant DOI

reference matching

task:

find cited work DOI

unstructured input:

- [CL17] André Chatzistamatiou, Marc Levine, *Torsion orders of complete intersections*, Algebra Number Theory 11 (2017), no. 8, 1779–1835.
- [CGR06] V. Chernousov, P. Gille, Z. Reichstein, *Resolving G -torsors by abelian base extensions*, J. Algebra 296 (2006), no. 2, 561–581.
- [CMS10] Suyoung Choi, Mikiya Masuda, Dong Youp Suh, Topological classification of generalized Bott towers. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), no. 2, 1097–1112.
- [C08] Utsav Choudhury, *Homotopy theory of schemes and \mathbb{A}^1 -fundamental groups*, ALGANT Erasmus Mundus Master Thesis, June 2008, 70pp.

grant matching

task:

find grant DOI

unstructured input:

Acknowledgement

We thank the reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions. We thank Dr. Valeria Vitale for her valuable input. This material is based upon work supported in part by NVIDIA Corporation, the National Endowment for the Humanities under Award No. HC-278125-21 and Council Reference AH/V009400/1, the National Science Foundation of United States Grant IIS 2105329, a Cisco Faculty Research Award (72953213), and the University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering Faculty startup funds.

reference matching

task:

find cited work DOI

unstructured input:

formatted reference string

structured input:

```
- {  
  volume : "48",  
  author : "Obwegeser",  
  journal-title : "Neurosurgery",  
  article-title : "Quantitative and qualitative outcome measures  
  first-page : "274",  
  year : "2001",  
  key : "10.1016/j.clineuro.2004.11.002_bib5",  
  issue : "2"  
},
```

grant matching

task:

find grant DOI

unstructured input:

acknowledgments text

structured input:

```
- {  
  name : "National Science Council",  
  award : - [  
    "NSC-78-0408-E009-16"  
  ],  
  doi-asserted-by : "publisher",  
  DOI : "10.13039/501100001868"  
}
```

reference matching

task:

find cited work DOI

unstructured input:

formatted reference string

structured input:

author name + article title +
journal title + year + ...

grant matching

task:

find grant DOI

unstructured input:

acknowledgments text

structured input:

**funder metadata +
award number**

How to link grants

Scenario #1:

Grant DOI included in the research output metadata
or

Research output DOI included in the grant metadata

↳ already linked

How to link grants

Scenario #2: Research output metadata contains the award number and the funder DOI

If award numbers are the same AND one of the following is true:

- funder DOI is the same in the research output and in the grant
- funder in the research output replaced or was replaced by the funder in the grant
- funder in the research output is an ancestor or a descendant of the funder in the grant

↳ establish the link

How to link grants

Scenario #3: Research output metadata contains the award number, but doesn't contain the funder DOI

If award numbers are the same AND one of the following is true:

- funder name is the same in the research output and the grant
- funder name in the research output is the same as the name of a funder that replaced or was replaced by the funder in the grant
- funder name in the research output is the same as the name of an ancestor or a descendant of the funder in the grant

↳ establish the link

Results

<i>number of</i>	<i>as of 22-03-08</i>	<i>as of 22-09-30</i>
<i>links</i>	20,834	23,878 (+15%)
<i>total research outputs with funding information</i>	7.5M	8.2M (+9%)
<i>linked research outputs</i>	17,082	19,634 (+15%)
<i>total grants</i>	38,326	39,182 (+2.2%)
<i>linked grants</i>	3,858	4,240 (+10%)

Percentage of linked outputs

- **0.24%** linked out of all research outputs with funding information
- **0.32%** linked out of research outputs with funding information including award numbers
- **7.5%** linked out of research outputs with funding information including award numbers and mentioning a funder that registers grants or is related to a funder that registers grants

Results

<i>matching strategy</i>	<i>% of links as of 22-03-08</i>	<i>% of links as of 22-09-30</i>
<i>link in the metadata</i>	<0.1%	<0.1%
<i>award number + funder DOI</i>	95.0%	95.5%
<i>award number + funder name</i>	5.0%	4.4%

What's next?

- better support for depositing the relationships between research outputs and grants
- properly evaluating and improving the matching
- making matched links available through our APIs
- building an API for grant matching and more

Research roadmap

★ Refresh ↻ Edit ✎ ...

Up Next

Summary	Assignee
Journal Country Analysis	Paul Davis

1-1 of 1

🕒 3 minutes ago

Information Gathering

Summary	Assignee
Matching API	Dominika Tkaczyk
Tools to allow us to community-source structured metadata correction information and feed it back to our members	Dominika Tkaczyk

1-2 of 2

🕒 3 minutes ago

Reporting

Summary	Assignee
Demonstrate implications of generated content using GPT3	Geoffrey Bilder
Looking into pull-based metadata registration and landing page snapshots to evaluate member best practice (or not)	Geoffrey Bilder

1-2 of 2

🕒 3 minutes ago

In Progress

Summary	Assignee
DB Reports Analysis	Paul Davis
Labs Toolkit	Paul Davis
How serious the problem with duplicate DOIs is?	Dominika Tkaczyk
Sampling framework	Dominika Tkaczyk
Help members get their metadata out of Crossref in a form where they can redeposit it easily	Geoffrey Bilder
Participation Reports experimentation	Geoffrey Bilder
Subject classifications	Esha Datta

1-7 of 7

🕒 3 minutes ago

Done

No matching issues found.

🕒 3 minutes ago

Annual meeting & board election

Lucy Ofiesh, Director of Finance and Operations
Emily Cooke, External Legal Counsel

Overview of Crossref governance

- 501(c)6 organization, a trade association
- To be eligible for the Board, a Director must be an employee or officer of a member organization. Once a Director is elected, the member organization can appoint an alternate for such Director. Board seat belongs to the organization, not the individual.
- Board members serve 3-year terms and are eligible for reelection with no term limits
- Corporate officers (Chair and Treasurer) are elected by the board to serve one-year terms, for up to to three consecutive years
- All board members agree to a whistleblower policy and a conflicts of interest disclosure policy

Overview of Crossref governance

The role of the board at Crossref is to provide strategic and financial oversight of the organization, as well as guidance to the Executive Director and the staff leadership team, with the key responsibilities being:

- Setting the strategic direction for the organization;
- Providing financial oversight; and
- Approving new policies and services.

Annual meeting election

- Notice of Annual meeting sent September 20th, 2022
- Review of Nominating Procedure

2022 board nominations

2022 Nominating committee

- Abel Packer, SciELO, São Paulo, Brazil, committee chair*
- Patrick Alexander, Penn State University Press, US
- Nisha Doshi, Cambridge University Press, UK
- Marc Hurlbert, Melanoma Research Alliance , US*
- Kihong Kim, Korean Council of Science Editors, South Korea*

* indicates Crossref board member

Nomination process

- Board composition review
- Public call for nominations
- 40 respondents
- Committee reviews and discussed candidates

Interested in next year? Stay tuned for the call for expressions of interest in May 2023

2022 Board slate

Tier 1, small & mid-sized members (electing one seat)

eLife, Damian Pattinson, Executive Director

Pan Africa Science Journal, Oscar Donde, Editor in Chief

Tier 2, large members (electing four seats)

Clarivate, Christine Stohn, Director of Product Management

Elsevier, Rose L'Huillier, Senior Vice President Researcher Products

The MIT Press, Nick Lindsay, Journals and Open Access Director

Springer Nature, Anjalie Nawaratne, VP Data Transformation & Chief Business Architect

Wiley, Allyn Molina, Group Vice President, Research Publishing

2022 Board election final results

Tier 1, small & mid-sized members (electing one seat)

Pan Africa Science Journal, Oscar Donde, Editor in Chief

Tier 2, large members (electing four seats)

Clarivate, Christine Stohn, Director of Product Management

Elsevier, Rose L'Huillier, Senior Vice President Researcher Products

The MIT Press, Nick Lindsay, Journals and Open Access Director

Springer Nature, Anjalie Nawaratne, VP Data Transformation & Chief Business Architect

**Any other
business?**

Crossref
LIVE 22

Time to *mingle*

Join us over at SpacialChat for
a little informal conversation:

bit.ly/live22-highlights

See you over there!

